REMARKS

The application has been amended and is believed to be in condition for allowance.

A substitute specification is attached. The undersigned attorney verifies that no new matter is being entered by way of the substitute specification.

Claims 1-15 were originally filed. New claims 16-20 are based on prior claims 1-5.

The claims are rejected under §112, second paragraph as indefinite. The claims have been amended to remedy the stated basis of rejection (use of the phrase "such as") and as to form. Withdrawal of the rejection is solicited.

Claims 1-15 stand rejected under §112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement.

That is, the Official Action stated that the claims recite subject matter not described in the specification to reasonably convey to one of skill that the inventor, at the time of filing the application, had possession of the claimed invention. More specifically, the Official Action states that the specification and claims use the terms "mi" "nj" and "pk" as well as "x" "y" and "z" without description as to their meaning.

Applicant respectfully disagrees.

One of skill, having read the specification, would understand that the invention is directed to a system and method

providing plural photo stations taking photos of products moving down a conveyor. While moving down the conveyor, the products are also rotating. At each camera of the three stations at least one photo is taken of the passing by product.

The objected-to formulas concern identification of the photos being taken, and identification of the photos that are to be selected, from the entire group of photos, so as to have four photos that give a view of the entire exterior surface of the product (with only limited overlap between the photos). Note that there are three analysing stations and that one of the stations is recited as having two cameras, whereas the other two stations each have a single camera, and hence four photos, the photos selected based on the recited formulas. This is possible because the product undergoes a rotation such that four complementary zones on its surface are viewed by the respective four cameras of the first, second and third stations (see the specification extract below).

In making the selection, the theoretical diameter of the product is compared with a predetermined average diameter. In the words of the amended claims, that is, from the photographs made at the three stations, individual photographs from the three stations to be taken into account with a view to analysing the product are determined by comparison of the theoretical diameter of the product with the predetermined average diameter, in such a

way as to obtain a complete analysis, without overlapping or with a given overlap, of the total surface of the product.

See the original specification page 5, beginning at line 16:

- in a preliminary phase, there are determined the average diameter of the products to be analysed and, as a function of the said average diameter, a speed of rotation of the rollers which is adapted so that a product of average diameter which is located in the plane of a camera at the first station and is caused to revolve on itself along the whole of the analysing means under the effect of the rotation of the said rollers, undergoes a rotation such that four complementary zones on its surface are viewed by the respective cameras of the first, second and third stations,
- and during the conveying of the products, the rollers are caused to revolve continuously at the predetermined speed of rotation, and for each product:

As to the photos, see original specification page 5, beginning at line 31:

"mi photographs of this product are made at the first station, where $i \ge 3$, nj photographs at the second station, where $j \ge 1$, and pk photographs at the third station, where $k \ge 3$,"

See specification page 6, beginning at line 3:

"and the photographs mi, nj and pk to be taken into account with a view to analysing the said product are determined by comparison of the theoretical diameter of this product with the predetermined average diameter, in such a way as to obtain a complete analysis, without overlapping or with a given overlap, of the total surface of the said product."

Later, beginning with line 25 of page 6 is disclosed:

- ". the nature of the photograph to be taken into account with a view to analysis is deduced, from among the photographs made at the various stations, from the comparison between the theoretical diameter calculated and the predefined average diameter, in such a way as to obtain a complete analysis of the total surface of the product. This selection of the photographs to be analysed is carried out very easily. By way of example, if the photographs mi, nj and pk correspond to a product of average diameter, and if i, j, k, photographs are taken at each station, where $i x \le i \le i + x$, $j y \le j \le j + y$, and $k z \le k \le k + z$, the photographs will be:
- ". in the case of a product with a theoretical diameter substantially equal to the average diameter: mi, nj, pk,
- ". in the case of a product with a diameter smaller than the average diameter, m (i + x), n (j y) and p (k z) photographs, where x, y, z are of an order which is a function of the difference in diameter,
- ". in the case of a product with a diameter greater than the average diameter, m (i x), n (j + y) and p (k + z) photographs, where x, y, z are of an order which is a function of the difference in diameter."

See also disclosure beginning with line 36 of page 10.

From the above, it is clear that the originally filed specification disclosed three stations, indicated as station m, station n, and station p.

At each of these three stations photos are taken. At the first station at least 3 photos are taken, at the second

station at least 1 photo is taken, and at the third station at least 3 photos are taken.

"mi" can readily be understood as naming the photos from the first station where "m" indicates the first station and "i" is an indicia indicating the photo number, e.g., ml indicates the first photo from the first station, m2 the second photo from the first station, m3 the third photo from the first station, and m99 the 99th photo from the first station.

"nj" names the photos from the second station where "n" indicates the second station and "j" is an indicia indicating the photo number, e.g., n1 is the first photo from the second station and n99 the 99th photo from the second station.

"pk" names the photos from the third station where "p" indicates the third station and "k" is an indicia indicating the photo number, e.g., j3 is the third photo from the third station.

Now, if 5 photos of each product were taken at each station (although the method only requires 3 photos/product at the first station m, 1 photo/product at the second station n, and 3 photos/product at the third station p), photos m1-m5 correspond to a first one of the products, photos m6-m10 to a second one of the products, m11-m16 to a third one of the products, etc.

The claim 1 relevant amended recitations are:

"- mi photographs of this product are made at the first station (5), where i \geq 3, nj photographs at the second station

(6), where $j \ge 1$, and pk photographs at the third station (7), where k > 3,

L

"- the theoretical diameter of the product is calculated from the photographs made,

"- and, from the photographs made at the three stations, the individual photographs from the three stations to be taken into account with a view to analysing the product are determined by comparison of the theoretical diameter of the product with the predetermined average diameter, in such a way as to obtain a complete analysis, without overlapping or with a given overlap, of the total surface of the product."

The first recitation of "mi photographs of this product are made at the first station (5), where $i \ge 3$, nj photographs at the second station (6), where $j \ge 1$, and pk photographs at the third station (7), where $k \ge 3$," is believed to be fully compliant with the 112 first paragraph disclosure requirement, as explained above.

The second recitation of "the theoretical diameter of the product is calculated from the photographs made," is not objected to by the Official Action.

This leaves the third recitation concerning how to determine which photographs will be selected to obtain a complete analysis of the products surface, within a given overlap between any two photographs. The recitation is "from the photographs

made at the three stations, the individual photographs from the three stations to be taken into account with a view to analysing the product are determined by comparison of the theoretical diameter of the product with the predetermined average diameter, in such a way as to obtain a complete analysis, without overlapping or with a given overlap, of the total surface of the product."

1

As to the selection of photos when there is more than one camera at a station, in the specification, applicant has used "x" to represent the variation of the indicia "i" of "mi", "y" to represent the variation of the indicia "j" of "nj"; and "z" to represent the variation of the indicia "k" of "pk". The variation is used to determine the order of the photograph which is to be selected for products having a diameter different than the average diameter.

See the specification text on page 15 outlining an example of using the formulas (where the "n" station takes a single photo):

After conventional, analog/numerical typeconversion, these photographs are stored and their processing consists in:

- calculating the theoretical diameter of each piece of fruit from the mi and n photographs taken at the first and second stations 5, 6,
- determining, from the calculation of the theoretical diameter, the photographs to be taken into account with a view to

calculating th workable sorting data, the determination consisting in selecting:

;

in the case of a piece of fruit with a diameter equal or close to the average diameter, the mi, n and pk photographs,

in the case of a piece of fruit of small size, that is to say with a diameter smaller than the average diameter, the m (i+1), n and p (k-1) photographs,

and, in the case of a piece of fruit of large size, that is to say with a diameter greater than the average diameter, the m (i-1), n and p (k+1) photographs.

Now, consider an example where 4 photos are taken of each product at the first station, 3 photos are taken of each product at the second station, and 5 photos are taken of each product at the third station. Thus, after two of the products have passed the three stations, there would be:

m1, m2, m3, m4 (first product)

m5, m6, m7, m8 (second product);

n1, n2, n3 (first product)

n4, n5, n6 (second product); and

p1, p2, p3, p4, p5 (first product),

p6, p7, p8, p9, p10 (second product).

In this example, one would calculate the theoretical diameter of each piece of fruit from the 4 first station photos, the 3 second station photos and the 5 third station photos. Next one would determine, from the calculation of the theoretical

diameter of each piece of fruit, the photographs to be taken into account with a view to calculating the workable sorting data, the determination consists as follows.

ï

In the case of a piece of fruit with a diameter equal or close to the average diameter, the mi, nj and pk photographs.

For this example and for the first product, let the third photo taken at the first station (m3) correspond to a product of average diameter, the first photo taken at the second station (n1) correspond to a product of average diameter, and the second photo taken at the third station (p2) correspond to a product of average diameter.

By way of example, if the photographs m3, n1, p2 of the first product set correspond to a product of average diameter, and 4, 3, 5 photographs are taken at each station:

- in the case of a product with a theoretical diameter substantially equal to the average diameter: photos m3, n1, p2 are selected;
- in the case of a product for one (1) order smaller than the average product, the formulas would be
 - m(3+1)=m4 photo is selected;
 - n(1-1)=n0, not available so n1 is used;
 - p(2-1)=p1 photo is selected; and
- in the case of a product for one (1) order larger than the average product

m(3-1)=m2 photo is selected; n(1+1)=n2 photo is selected;

ï

p(2+1)=p3 photo is selected.

For the second group of photos (i.e., a second product), the m6, n4, and p7 photos correspond to a product of average diameter, the specification teaches that:

- in the case of a product with a theoretical diameter substantially equal to the average diameter photos m6, n4, p7 are selected;
- in the case of a product for one (1) order smaller than the average product

m(6+1)=m7 photo is selected;

n(4-1)=n3, not available so n4 is used;

p(7-1)=p6 photo is selected; and

- in the case of a product for one (1) order larger than the average product,

m(6-1) = m5 photo is selected;

n(4+1)=n5 photo is selected; and

p(7+1)=p8 photo is selected.

From the above, applicant believes that it is clear that one of skill would appreciate that the specification discloses the claimed subject matter that reasonably conveys that

the inventor, at the time of the application, had possession of the claimed invention. Therefore, withdrawal of this §112, first paragraph rejection is solicited.

Examination on the merits is respectfully requested.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 25-0120 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. §1.16 or under 37 C.F.R.§1.17.

Respectfully submitted,

YOUNG & THOMPSON

Roland E. Long, Jr., Reg. No

745 South 23rd Street

Arlington, VA 22202

Telephone (703) 521-2297

Telefax (703) 685-0573

(703) 979-4709

REL/lrs

APPENDIX:

The Appendix includes the following items:

- substitute specification
- marked-up copy of originally-filed specification

MARKED-UP COPY OF ORIGINALLY FILED SPECIFICATION

ANALYSING METHOD AND DEVICE WITH A VIEW TO THE AUTOMATIC
SORTING OF PRODUCTS SUCH AS PIECES OF FRUIT

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

5

10

15

The invention relates to an analysing method and device with a view to the automatic sorting of products such as pieces of fruit.

DESCRIPTION OF THE RELATED ART

At the present time, numerous techniques exist which are intended to permit the analysis of products such as pieces of fruit, with a view to permitting the automatic sorting of the [[said]] products in such a way as to obtain batches which are homogeneous in terms of both quality and colour.

A first technique consists in arranging one or 20 more cameras above and/or on the side of a conveyer in such a way as to analyse a surface portion of the pieces of fruit transported on the [[said]] conveyer. However, this solution leads to a not insignificant error rate, because only one portion of the surface of the products is analysed.

25 Consequently, defects which these products exhibit on faces

which are not visible are not taken into account during sorting.

In order to overcome this drawback, one solution consists in arranging four cameras which are distributed around a conveyer, at its junction with another conveyer which is raised in relation to the [[said]] conveyer, in such a way as to analyse the pieces of fruit when they drop. This solution does, in fact, make it possible to analyse the major part of the surface of the products. In the first place, however, this solution does not permit the analysis of the whole of the upper and lower faces of the products. Moreover, the fact that the products are subjected to dropping constitutes a not insignificant risk of bruising them.

Another technique which is very commonly employed and which is described, in particular, in US Patent 4,726,898, consists in arranging a camera above the conveyer and in causing the product to revolve on itself at high speed plumb with the [[said]] camera. According to this technique, the position and optical field of the camera are adapted so that the latter displays four or five pieces of fruit, so that a number of successive faces of each piece of fruit which is driven in rotation are viewed successively by the [[said]] camera. One of the advantages deriving from this arrangement resides in the fact that a single camera

15

20

permits the analysis of pieces of fruit which are moving along on two parallel conveyer lines. On the other hand, this arrangement makes it necessary for the camera to be relatively remote from the conveyer lines, and leads to a loss of resolution which manifests itself in practice in an inability to detect very small specks, such as "diffuse russeting". Moreover, it turns out that, according to this technique, those speeds of rotation of the pieces of fruit which can be physically obtained lead to the displaying of only about 80% of the total surface of the [[said]] pieces of fruit. Finally, the defects in those zones of the pieces of fruit which are viewed with a high degree of incidence turn out to be poorly analysed. The consequence of this combination of facts[[,]] is that, in practice, 25% to 30% of the surface of the pieces of fruit is either simply not analysed or else is poorly analysed.

10

15

20

In order to overcome this combination of drawbacks, other solutions have been proposed which consist, for example, in suspending the products or transporting them on a transparent conveyer. However, these solutions have proved unworkable in practice.

Another technique which is described in EP Patent 0,258,810, consists in arranging a camera above the conveyer, a plurality of mirrors which are distributed above

and on the sides of the [[said]] conveyer in such a way as to allow the camera to display the upper face and side faces products, and a plurality of lighting distributed above the [[said]] conveyer. Apart from the lower face of the products, which is resting on conveyer, this solution therefore permits the analysis of the major part of the surface of the [[said]] products without the risk of bruising the latter. However, the implementation of such a technique proves to be relatively complex. In fact, the [[said]] implementation makes it necessary, in particular, to arrange the lighting lamps in such a way as not to dazzle the camera, an arrangement which proves awkward to obtain if it is desired to obtain uniform Likewise, the relative positions of the camera and the various mirrors have to be absolutely precise, and this proves to be not very easy because of the congestion problems linked with the presence οf the conveyer. Furthermore, the principle adopted, which consists in using a CCD camera divided up into analysing segments, leads to a not insignificant reduction in the resolution of the sensor.

10

15

20

25

Another technique, which is described in Patent Application WO 94/10555 and US Patent 5,156,278, consists firstly in providing four successive analysing stations arranged at a distance from one another along the conveyer and each comprising a lens which is arranged plumb with the

[[said]] conveyer and connected to optical lens/filters/photodiodes unit by an cable. Moreover, according to this technique, the pieces of fruit are carried by a conveyer equipped with rollers which are mounted so as to rotate freely about a transverse axis, and the [[said]] rollers are caused to revolve about their axes of rotation between the stations, so that each piece of fruit undergoes a rotation of about 90° between two stations, whereas the [[said]] piece of fruit is rotationally immobile when plumb with each of the stations. Such a technique therefore makes it possible to display the whole of the surface of the pieces of fruit owing to the fact that complementary faces of the latter are analysed at each However, it has one drawback which results from station. the differences in size of the pieces of fruit analysed. actual fact, the rotation which a piece of fruit with a given diameter undergoes differs from that undergone by a fruit with a different diameter so that, since the angle of rotation is necessarily calculated for a piece of fruit with a given average diameter, pieces of fruit which are larger their entirety, not viewed in whereas size are overlapping zones of pieces of fruit which are smaller in size are displayed, leading to erroneous analysis of the surface of the [[said]] pieces of fruit.

5

15

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

10

15

20

The present invention sets out to overcome all the drawbacks of the techniques described above, and has the essential object of providing a product-analysing device which is very simple to implement and operate and which permits the analysis of the whole of the surface of the [[said]] products in spite of differences in the dimensions of the latter.

method with a view to sorting products such as pieces of fruit which are transported along an axis (x) on a conveyer line having a plurality of rollers which are mounted so as to each rotate freely about a transverse axis of rotation orthogonal to the axis (x), and which are spaced apart in such a way that two adjoining rollers define, between them, a seating for a product, the [[said]] analysing method consisting in using analysing means which are split up into a number of successive stations arranged at a distance from one another along the axis (x), and in causing the rollers to revolve about their axes of rotation between the stations in such a way as to display, at each of the [[said]] stations, different faces of each product.

In the [[said]] analysing method according to the 25 invention:

- three analysing stations are arranged along the conveyer line, and each of the [[said]] analysing stations is equipped with at least one camera which is orientated and adapted to make, with an adjustable frequency, photographs of the products transported by the conveyer line,
- [[.]] one of the [[said]] stations having two cameras which are arranged on either side of the conveyer line in the same vertical plane orthogonal to the axis (x), and are orientated in such a way that their respective optical axes form a V which is centred on the [[said]] axis (x) and has a vertex angle substantially in the range between 90° and 130°,

10

15

- [[.]] the other two stations each comprising a camera which is arranged plumb with the conveyer line and is orientated in such a way that its optical axis is vertical and secant with the axis (x),
- in a preliminary phase, there are determined the average diameter of the products to be analysed and, as a function of the [[said]] average diameter, a speed of rotation of the rollers which is adapted so that a product of average diameter which is located in the plane of a camera at the first station and is caused to revolve on itself along the whole of the analysing means under the effect of the rotation of the [[said]] rollers, undergoes a

rotation such that four complementary zones on its surface are viewed by the respective cameras of the first, second and third stations,

- and during the conveying of the products, the
 5 rollers are caused to revolve continuously at the
 predetermined speed of rotation, and for each product:
 - [[.]] mi photographs of this product are made at the first station, where $i \ge 3$, nj photographs at the second station, where $j \ge 1$, and pk photographs at the third station, where $k \ge 3$,

- [[.]] the theoretical diameter of the product is calculated from the photographs made,
- [[.]] and the photographs mi, nj and pk to be taken into account with a view to analysing the [[said]]

 15 product are determined by comparison of the theoretical diameter of this product with the predetermined average diameter, in such a way as to obtain a complete analysis, without overlapping or with a given overlap, of the total surface of the [[said]] product.
- 20 According to the method of the invention, on the one hand the cameras are arranged and orientated, and on the other, each product is caused to revolve continuously during its transport along the analysing device, in such a way that the [[said]] product is displayed in accordance with four different angles adapted to permit the analysis of four

complementary faces of a product with a given average Moreover, in order to take into account the diameter. differences in diameter of the products analysed in relation to the predetermined average diameter:

- [[.]] the theoretical diameter of each product is, 5 first of all, determined in conventional manner,
- [[.]] the nature of the photograph to be taken into account with a view to analysis is deduced, from among the photographs made at the various stations, from the comparison between the theoretical diameter calculated and the predefined average diameter, in such a way as to obtain a complete analysis of the total surface of the product. This selection of the photographs to be analysed is carried out very easily. By way of example, if the photographs mi, nj and pk correspond to a product of average diameter, and 15 if i, j, k, photographs are taken at each station, where i x < i < i + x, $j - y \le j \le j + y$, and $k - z \le k \le k + z$, the photographs will be:

- [[.]] in the case of a product with a theoretical diameter substantially equal to the average diameter: mi, 20 nj, pk,
 - [[.]] in the case of a product with a diameter smaller than the average diameter, m(i + x), n(j - y) and

p (k - z) photographs, where x, y, z are of an order which is a function of the difference in diameter,

[[.]] in the case of a product with a diameter greater than the average diameter, m (i - x), n (j + y) and p (k + z) photographs, where x, y, z are of an order which is a function of the difference in diameter.

A method of this kind which therefore combines the use of a number of cameras distributed and orientated in a specific manner, the setting of the products in rotation at a given speed of rotation, and the selection of the photographs taken by the cameras as a function of the theoretical diameter of the products, permits the analysis of the whole of the surface of each product without overlapping or with a known overlap, and to do so in spite of the differences in size of the [[said]] products.

10

15

20

According to one advantageous mode of implementation, a speed of rotation of the rollers is determined which is adapted so that a product of average diameter undergoes a rotation on itself with an angle of rotation substantially in the range between 110° and 130° between the first and second stations, and with an angle of rotation substantially in the range between 105° and 115° between the second and third stations.

These angles of rotation, which are associated 25 with the arrangement of the two cameras situated at one of

the stations, lead to the obtention of shots of each product which are equivalent to those which would be obtained from four cameras arranged at the four vertices of a tetrahedron and orientated towards the barycentre of the [[said]] tetrahedron, by placing the product at the [[said]] barycentre.

5

20

These angles of rotation may advantageously be obtained:

- [[.]] by arranging the stations of analysing means

 10 in such a way that the distance between the first and second

 stations is substantially in the range between 1.1 and 1.2

 times the distance between the second and third stations,
- [[.]] and by causing the rollers to revolve in
 rotation at a constant speed of rotation along the whole of
 the [[said]] analysing device.

According to one advantageous mode of implementation, a speed of rotation of the rollers is determined which is adapted so that a product of average diameter undergoes a rotation on itself with an angle of rotation substantially equal to 125.5° between the first and second stations, and with an angle of rotation substantially equal to 109° between the second and third stations.

Moreover, the cameras of the station comprising two cameras are advantageously arranged in such a way that

their respective optical axes define a V with a vertex angle substantially equal to 109°.

These angles of rotation and orientation of the two cameras lead to the obtention of an optimum shooting system equivalent to a system whose four cameras would be arranged at the four vertices of a regular tetrahedron.

In order to obtain these angles of rotation, and in an advantageous manner, the distance between the first and second stations is substantially equal to 1.15 times the distance between the second and third stations.

10

15

25

Furthermore, the first station is advantageously equipped with two cameras, and the second and third stations with one camera. The fact that the two cameras are arranged at the first station permits better definition of the theoretical diameter of the products.

Furthermore, and in an advantageous manner, three photographs of each product are taken at the first and third stations, and a single photograph of the [[said]] products at the second station.

- The invention extends to an analysing device with a view to the automatic sorting of products such as pieces of fruit, the [[said]] device comprising:
 - [[.]] a conveyer line for transporting the products along a longitudinal axis (x), the [[said]] line having a plurality of rollers which are mounted so as to

each rotate freely about a transverse axis of rotation orthogonal to the axis (x) and are spaced apart in such a way that two adjoining rollers define, between them, a seating for a product,

- [[.]] means for analysing the surface of the products, which means are arranged above the conveyer line and have a number of successive stations arranged at a distance from one another along the axis (x),
- [[.]] means for driving the rollers in rotation

 10 about their axes of rotation, which means are suitable for

 bringing about rotation of the [[said]] rollers between the

 analysing stations in such a way that different faces of the

 products are analysed at each station,
- [[.]] and a processing unit adapted to receive 15 information emanating from the analysing means, and to calculate workable sorting data from predefined, programmed criteria.

In the [[said]] analysing device according to the invention:

[[.]] the analysing means comprise three analysing stations, each of the [[said]] analysing stations having at least one camera which is orientated and adapted to make, with an adjustable frequency, photographs of the products transported by the conveyer line,

- [[.]] one of the [[said]] stations having two cameras which are arranged on either side of the conveyer line in the same vertical plane orthogonal to the axis (x), and are orientated in such a way that their respective optical axes form a V which is centred on the [[said]] axis (x) and has a vertex angle substantially in the range between 90° and 130°,
- [[.]] the other two stations each comprising a camera which is arranged plumb with the conveyer line and is orientated in such a way that its optical axis is vertical and secant with the axis (x),

10

15

- [[.]] the means for driving the rollers in rotation are arranged in such a way as to bring about continuous rotation of the [[said]] rollers along the analysing means, at a speed of rotation which is adapted so that a product of predetermined average diameter which is located in the plane of a camera at the first station and is caused to revolve on itself along the whole of the analysing means under the effect of the rotation of the [[said]] rollers, undergoes a rotation such that four complementary zones of its surface are viewed by the respective cameras of the first, second and third stations,
 - [[.]] the processing unit is adapted to:
- [[.]] process, for each product, mi photographs of

 25 the [[said]] products taken at the first station, where i >

- 3, nj photographs taken at the second station, where $j \ge 1$, and pk photographs, at the third station, where k > 3,
- [[.]] and to determine, by a comparison of the theoretical diameter of the [[said]] product with the predetermined average diameter, the mi, nj and pk photographs to be taken into account with a view to analysing the [[said]] product, in such a way as to obtain a complete analysis, without overlapping or with a given overlap, of the total surface of the [[said]] product.
- According to an advantageous mode of embodiment, the first station has two cameras, while the second and third stations have a single camera.

Moreover, the distance between the first and second stations is advantageously substantially in the range between 1.1 and 1.2 times the distance between the second and third stations. This distance between the first and second stations is preferably substantially equal to 1.15 times the distance between the second and third stations.

15

Moreover, the cameras of the station comprising two cameras are advantageously orientated in such a way that their respective optical axes define a V with a vertex angle substantially equal to 109°.

Furthermore, according to one advantageous mode of embodiment, the means for driving the rollers in rotation

comprise an endless belt extending, underneath the conveyer line, along the analysing means, and arranged in such a way as to be tangential to the lower generatrix of the [[said]] rollers, and means for driving the [[said]] endless belt which are suitable for causing the latter to run at a regulable running speed which is different from that of the conveyer line.

Moreover, the means for driving the endless belt are advantageously adapted to drive it in the same direction of displacement as that of the conveyer line at an adjustable running speed which is lower than that of the [[said]] conveyer line.

Other characteristics, aims and advantages of the invention will emerge from the detailed description which follows, with reference to the appended drawings which represent, by way of a non-limitative example, a preferred mode of embodiment of the invention.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

In the [[said]] drawings:

10

- figure 1 is a view, in diagrammatic perspective, of an analysing device according to the invention, installed on a conveyer device having two product-conveying lines,
- figure 2 is a diagrammatic longitudinal section
 25 through a vertical plane B of the [[said]] analysing device,

- and figure 3 is a front view of the [[said]] analysing device, in the direction of the arrow A.

DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

In the figures, the analysing device according to the invention is represented installed on a fruit-conveying device having two parallel conveying lines 1, 2. Each of these conveying lines 1, 2 comprises a plurality of rollers such as 3, 4 which are mounted so as to each rotate freely about a transverse axis of rotation, and are spaced apart in such a way that two successive rollers define, between them, a seating for a piece of fruit. Conveyer lines of this kind are, for example, of the same type as those described in Patent Application FR-2,772,358, to which reference may be made for more details.

This analysing device comprises three analysing stations, 5, 6, 7 which are arranged successively at a distance from one another along the conveyer lines 1, 2, and have, for each of the [[said]] conveyer lines:

[[.]] in the case of the first station 5, two cameras 8, 9-10, 11 arranged on either side of the conveyer line 1-2, in the same vertical plane orthogonal to the direction of displacement of the [[said]] conveyer line, the [[said]] cameras being orientated in such a way that their

optical axes form a V which is centred on a piece of fruit of average diameter and has a vertex angle substantially in the range between 90° and 130° ,

- [[.]] in the case of the second station 6, a camera 12-13 which is arranged plumb with the conveyer line 1-2, and is orientated in such a way that its optical axis is vertical and secant in relation to the longitudinal axis of the [[said]] conveyer line,
- [[.]] in the case of the third station 7, a camera 10 14-15 which is arranged plumb with the conveyer line 1-2, and is orientated in such a way that its optical axis is vertical and secant in relation to the longitudinal axis of the [[said]] conveyer line.

Moreover, the analysing stations 5, 6, 7 are spaced apart from one another in such a way that the distance 11 between the optical axes of the respective cameras 8-11 and 12-13 of the first station 5 and second station 6 is equal to 1.15 times the distance 12 between the optical axes of the respective cameras 12-13, 14-15 of the second station 6 and third station 7. In practice, by way of an example, 11 is substantially equal to 26 cm, and 12 is therefore substantially equal to 22 cm.

Moreover, the cameras 12-15 of the second station 6 and third station 7 are arranged in such a way that their lenses are situated at a height h1 which is substantially

equal to 80 cm above the conveyer lines, whereas the lens of the cameras 8-11 of the first station 5 extends to a height h1 - h2, where h2 is substantially equal to 9 cm, above the [[said]] conveyer lines.

Furthermore, each camera 8-15 has, in a single casing, two distinct cameras such as 16, 17 which are adapted to make photographs which are fully superimposable: a conventional (RVB) camera 16 and an infrared camera 17.

Moreover, these cameras 16, 17 are "single-shot" cameras suitable for taking in the region of 25 photographs per second.

The group of cameras 8-15 is integrated into a single case 18 of conventional type, which also incorporates lighting means such as 19, of a type which is known per se.

15

20

The analysing device according to the invention further comprises, plumb with the case 18 and for each conveyer line 1, 2, an endless belt 20, 21, which is arranged in such a way as to come into tangential contact with the lower generatrix of the rollers 3, 4, and means for driving the [[said]] endless belts, which means are suitable for displacing them at an adjustable speed in the same direction of displacement as that of the [[said]] conveyer lines.

The functioning of the analysing device according to the invention is described below.

First of all, and in a preliminary phase, the average diameter of the pieces of fruit conveyed is determined. The speed of displacement of the endless belts 20, 21 is then adjusted, as a function of the running speed of the conveyer lines 1, 2, in such a way that the rotation of the rollers 3, 4 leads an average piece of fruit to undergo a rotation on itself with an angle of 125.5° over the distance 11 separating the first and second stations 5, 6 and consequently, taking into account the constant running speed of the [[said]] endless belts and conveyer lines, a rotation on itself of 109° over the distance 12 separating the second and third stations 6, 7.

10

- In the course of analysis, three mi photographs, where $i-1 \le i \le i+1$, of each piece of fruit are taken at the first station 5, a single photograph n at the second station, and three pk photographs, where $k-1 \le k \le k+1$ at the third station.
- 20 After conventional, analog/numerical type conversion, these photographs are stored and their processing consists in:
 - calculating the theoretical diameter of each piece of fruit from the mi and n photographs taken at the first and second stations 5, 6,

- determining, from the calculation of the theoretical diameter, the photographs to be taken into account with a view to calculating the workable sorting data, the [[said]] determination consisting in selecting:
- [[.]] in the case of a piece of fruit with a diameter equal or close to the average diameter, the mi, n and pk photographs,
- [[.]] in the case of a piece of fruit of small
 size, that is to say with a diameter smaller than the
 10 average diameter, the m (i + 1), n and p (k 1)
 photographs,
- [[.]] and, in the case of a piece of fruit of
 large size, that is to say with a diameter greater than the
 average diameter, the m (i 1), n and p (k + 1)
 photographs.

It should be noted that although the figures represent a conveyer equipped with two conveyer lines, the analysing device can be installed on a conveyer equipped with n parallel lines, where $n \ge 1$, the number of cameras 8-20 15 then being equal to 4 n, with 2 n cameras at the first station 5, and n cameras at each of the second and third stations 6, 7.

ABSTRACT

- ANALYSING METHOD AND DEVICE WITH A VIEW TO THE AUTOMATIC

SORTING OF PRODUCTS SUCH AS PIECES OF FRUIT

The invention concerns a

10

15

20

A method of analysing products such as pieces of fruit transported on a conveyer line, the said method consisting firstly in arranging, above the said arranges above a conveyer line [[,]] three successive analysing stations (5, 6, 7) respectively having, in the first station case of one (5), two cameras (8, 9) arranged in such a way that their optical axes form a V which is centred on the products and has a vertex angle in the range between 90° and 130°, and in the case of the other two stations (6, 7), a camera (12, 14) arranged plumb with the conveyer line. Moreover, the products are driven in rotation during their transport along the analysing stations (5-7), in such a way that the cameras (8, 9, 12, 14) each take a number of photographs of complementary faces of the surface of the [[said]] products, and there are selected from among the photographs taken, by a comparison between the calculated theoretical diameter of a product and a predetermined average diameter, the photographs to be retained so as to obtain a complete analysis of the total surface of the [[said]] product.